Check for updates

Received: 15 October 2022 | Revised: 8 March 2023 | Accepted: 21 March 2023

DOI: 10.1002/ecy.4042

ARTICLE

ECOLOGY
ECOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA

Less fuel for the next fire? Short-interval fire delays forest recovery and interacting drivers amplify effects

Kristin H. Braziunas[1,2] | **Nathan G. Kiel**[1] | **Monica G. Turner**[1]

[1]Department of Integrative Biology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

[2]TUM School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany

Correspondence
Kristin H. Braziunas
Email: kristin.braziunas@tum.de

Funding information
Joint Fire Science Program, Grant/Award Number: Graduate Research Innovation award (20-1-01-6); National Science Foundation, Grant/Award Number: DEB-2027261; Philanthropic Educational Organization, Ventura Neale Trust Endowed Scholar Award; University of Wisconsin Vilas Trust

Handling Editor: Anthony W. D'Amato

Abstract

As 21st-century climate and disturbance dynamics depart from historic baselines, ecosystem resilience is uncertain. Multiple drivers are changing simultaneously, and interactions among drivers could amplify ecosystem vulnerability to change. Subalpine forests in Greater Yellowstone (Northern Rocky Mountains, USA) were historically resilient to infrequent (100–300 year), severe fire. We sampled paired short-interval (<30-year) and long-interval (>125-year) post-fire plots most recently burned between 1988 and 2018 to address two questions: (1) How do short-interval fire, climate, topography, and distance to unburned live forest edge interact to affect post-fire forest regeneration? (2) How do forest biomass and fuels vary following short-interval versus long-interval severe fires? Mean post-fire live tree stem density was an order of magnitude lower following short-interval versus long-interval fires (3240 vs. 28,741 stems ha^{-1}, respectively). Differences between paired plots were amplified at longer distances to live forest edge. Surprisingly, warmer–drier climate was associated with higher seedling densities even after short-interval fire, likely relating to regional variation in serotiny of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* var. *latifolia*). Unlike conifers, density of aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), a deciduous resprouter, increased with short-interval versus long-interval fires (mean 384 vs. 62 stems ha^{-1}, respectively). Live biomass and canopy fuels remained low nearly 30 years after short-interval fire, in contrast with rapid recovery after long-interval fire, suggesting that future burn severity may be reduced for several decades following reburns. Short-interval plots also had half as much dead woody biomass compared with long-interval plots (60 vs. 121 Mg ha^{-1}), primarily due to the absence of large snags. Our results suggest differences in tree regeneration following short-interval versus long-interval fires will be especially pronounced where serotiny was high historically. Propagule limitation will also interact with short-interval fires to diminish tree regeneration but lessen subsequent burn severity. Amplifying driver interactions are likely to threaten forest resilience under expected trajectories of a future fire.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
© 2023 The Authors. *Ecology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The Ecological Society of America.

KEYWORDS
aspen, biomass, burn severity, fire frequency, forest resilience, Greater Yellowstone, lodgepole pine, self-regulation, tree regeneration, US Northern Rocky Mountains

INTRODUCTION

Changes in disturbance regimes threaten the fate of contemporary ecosystems. Disturbances can spur rapid change in ecosystem structure and resource availability (White & Pickett, 1985), ecosystem processes such as biogeochemical cycling (Wan et al., 2001), and species diversity (Collins et al., 1998; Connell, 1978). Ecosystems are resilient, meaning they can absorb disturbance without shifting to a qualitatively different structural or functional state (Holling, 1973), when aligned with historical disturbance frequency, size, and severity (Johnstone et al., 2016). Ecosystem recovery is the process of returning to this initial state (Ghazoul & Chazdon, 2017). Although ecosystems may be resilient to changes in a single driving process such as increasing disturbance frequency, interacting drivers can push systems past thresholds where recovery is inhibited and resilience is no longer possible (Barnosky et al., 2012; Ratajczak et al., 2018; Turner et al., 2020). Interactions are amplifying when they increase the magnitude or likelihood of change, such as the effects of blowdown and fire on forest recovery (Kleinman et al., 2019); disease, predation, cyclones, and bleaching events on coral reefs (De'Ath et al., 2012; Haapkylä et al., 2013); and pathogens and pesticides on bee populations (Doublet et al., 2015). Anticipating how interacting drivers affect resilience is critical because simultaneous driver change will be the norm, not the exception, in the coming decades (Seidl et al., 2017).

Anticipating resilience is especially important in forests (Millar & Stephenson, 2015; Pugh et al., 2019; Reyer et al., 2015; Trumbore et al., 2015). Forests store approximately half of the world's terrestrial carbon (Bonan, 2008), sequester twice as much carbon as they emit (Harris et al., 2021), and provide ecosystem services such as wildlife habitat, clean water, building materials, and scenic beauty (MEA, 2005). Fire is a dominant forest disturbance, and fire activity is expected to increase across much of the globe with warmer and drier 21st-century climate (Bowman et al., 2020). Fire-adapted traits of tree species (e.g., thick bark, serotinous seed banks, resprouting, and long-distance dispersal; Baker, 2009; Pausas & Keeley, 2014), together with residual post-fire structures such as dead wood and nearby live seed sources, confer resilience and enable forests to persist or recolonize burned areas (Franklin et al., 2000). However, these disturbance legacies may be lost or diminished under expected changes in fire and climate, especially when fires are stand-replacing (Johnstone et al., 2016). For example, more frequent fires may recur before a forest can replenish its former carbon stocks or reach reproductive maturity (Keeley et al., 1999; Turner et al., 2019), larger fires may impair regeneration by increasing distances to seed sources (Harvey et al., 2016b), and more severe fires may facilitate changing post-fire tree species composition by altering soil seedbeds (Johnstone et al., 2010). Tree seedlings are particularly vulnerable to climate change because they tolerate a narrow range of temperature and moisture stress relative to mature trees (Dobrowski et al., 2015; Hansen & Turner, 2019; Jackson et al., 2009). Simultaneous changes in fire, climate, and vegetation may interact to erode resilience by amplifying reductions in post-fire forest recovery.

Projections of future fire often incorporate only climate drivers, but post-fire forest and fuel recovery also affect subsequent fire behavior. In many western USA forest landscapes, increasing fire frequency is expected to regulate future fires by decreasing fuel loads, thereby reducing fire spread rates and burn severity (Parks et al., 2014, 2015; Prichard et al., 2017; Stevens-Rumann et al., 2016). These negative feedbacks could counteract the influence of climate on fire at landscape scales (Coop et al., 2020), but may not limit regional climate-driven increases in burning (Abatzoglou et al., 2021). Further, self-regulation after a single severe fire is short-lived in many forest types. For example, lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* var. *latifolia*) forests recover fuels rapidly after fire and can burn at similar or higher severity as mature forests within 10–12 years (Braziunas et al., 2022; Harvey et al., 2016a; Nelson et al., 2016, 2017).

Short-interval fires (i.e., fires that burn forests at a short fire return interval relative to historical intervals, hereafter referred to as "reburns") are occurring more often, yet understanding of forest and fuel recovery following reburns under a wide range of post-fire climate conditions remains unresolved. In the United States Northern Rocky Mountains, 138,061 ha of forest burned twice within a 26-year period (1984–2010), with more than one-third of reburns occurring in subalpine forests (i.e., 0.5% of total subalpine forest area in this region burned twice over 26 years; Harvey et al., 2016a). High-severity fires in subalpine forests historically recurred every 100–300 years, driven by rare combinations

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

of drought and high wind (Higuera et al., 2011; Romme & Despain, 1989; Whitlock et al., 2008), and forests recovered rapidly (Turner et al., 1999). However, area burned is already increasing with warmer and drier climate (Abatzoglou & Williams, 2016; Littell et al., 2009; Westerling, 2016), and climatically based fire rotations could shorten to <30 years over the 21st century (Westerling et al., 2011). In this study, we used field data from paired short-interval and long-interval post-fire plots in Greater Yellowstone most recently burned between 1988 and 2018 to ask: (1) How do short-interval fire, climate, topography, and distance to unburned live forest edge interact to affect post-fire forest regeneration? We expected post-fire tree stem densities to be lower in short-interval (<30-year) compared with long-interval (>125-year) plots and drier post-fire climate to amplify differences between paired plots (Whitman et al., 2019). We further expected lower post-fire stem density with warmer–drier topographic conditions and greater distance to live forest edge (Hoecker et al., 2020; Stevens-Rumann & Morgan, 2019). (2) How do forest biomass and fuels vary following short-interval versus long-interval severe fires? We expected lower loads and delayed recovery of live and dead biomass and fuels following short-interval relative to long-interval fire (Donato et al., 2016; Stevens-Rumann et al., 2020; Turner et al., 2019). In all plots, we expected large fuels (1000-h downed wood or >7.6 cm diameter snags) to comprise the majority of dead woody biomass. For both questions, we considered long-interval plots the reference condition for resilient post-fire recovery compared with paired short-interval plots.

METHODS

Study area

The Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem (GYE) comprises 89,000 km^2 (YNP, 2017) of mostly federally managed land centered on Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks (Figure 1). Greater Yellowstone has cold, snowy winters and mild summers, with most annual precipitation falling as snow. Average summer temperature (1981–2010) is 12.3°C, and annual precipitation averages 644 mm at centrally located Old Faithful in Yellowstone National Park (WRCC, 2021). The region is expected to get warmer and drier over the 21st century, with lengthening fire seasons and harsher conditions for germination and establishment of young tree seedlings (Romme & Turner, 2015; Westerling et al., 2011). Since 1950, Greater Yellowstone has warmed +1.3°C, and annual snowfall has decreased by 25% (Hostetler et al., 2021). Soils are primarily derived from highly infertile, volcanic rhyolite; slightly less infertile andesite; or sedimentary parent materials (Despain, 1990).

Subalpine forests cover much of the GYE between ~1500–3000 m elevation and historically recovered rapidly after infrequent severe fire due to prevalent serotinous lodgepole pine with its fire-stimulated canopy seed bank (Turner et al., 1999). Stand-level percent serotiny of lodgepole pine is highest at lower elevations (up to ~2300–2400 m) and ranges widely (0 to more than 85% of trees with serotinous cones; Schoennagel et al., 2003; Tinker et al., 1994). Approximately one-third of 1984–2010 area burned in United States Northern Rocky Mountains subalpine forests was stand-replacing (Harvey et al., 2016a), and 19%–25% of 1984–2020 short-interval area burned in Northwest United States forests was stand-replacing in both the initial and subsequent fire (Harvey et al., 2023). Mean aboveground biomass in lodgepole pine-dominated forests averages 139 Mg ha^{-1} (live tree) and 98 Mg ha^{-1} (dead woody) across a 300-year chronosequence, and stand density stabilizes to approximately 1200 stems ha^{-1} after 200 years of stand development (Kashian et al., 2013; Kashian, Turner, & Romme, 2005).

Other tree species in the subalpine zone include Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* var. *glauca*) and quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) at lower elevations, Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii*) and subalpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa*) at higher elevations, and whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) near upper treeline (Baker, 2009). Douglas fir, Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir, and non-serotinous lodgepole pine rely on wind dispersal from nearby live seed sources, and most seeds fall within 50 m of a live tree (Gill et al., 2021; McCaughey & Schmidt, 1987). Whitebark pine and quaking aspen can disperse over longer distances (Lorenz et al., 2011; Turner et al., 2003), and aspen can also resprout after fire (Baker, 2009).

Reburn and plot selection

We identified recent, large fires (1994–2018; ≥404 ha) that severely burned subalpine forests at both short (<30-year; $n = 16$ reburns) and long (>125-year) intervals (Figure 1a; Appendix S1; Eidenshink et al., 2007). In 2021, we sampled 22 plot pairs (1–2 pairs per reburn) each consisting of a 0.25-ha short-interval plot burned twice as stand-replacing fire and a topographically similar, nearby 0.25-ha long-interval plot burned as stand-replacing in the same recent fire (Figure 1c). These data were augmented with paired short-interval and long-interval post-fire plot data collected in 2000, 12 years after the 1988 fires (Braziunas et al., 2023;

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License



FIGURE 1 (a) Reburns sampled in 2021 in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem (GYE). Different shades show perimeter of first fires, second fires (long-interval), and reburned areas (short-interval overlap of first and second fires). (b) Location of GYE within the USA. (c) Example paired plot site in the 2016 Berry Fire reburn of the 2000 Wilcox Fire, Grand Teton National Park (44.0° N, 110.7° W). Short-interval plots burned twice as stand-replacing fire and long-interval plots only burned as stand-replacing in the most recent fire. Shading shows underlying topography.

Schoennagel et al., 2003). Together, these datasets included 33 plot pairs ($n = 66$ plots) in 27 reburns widely distributed throughout the GYE and representing 7–28-year short fire return intervals, 3–27 years since most recent fire, 1798–2769 m in elevation, 0–356° aspect, and 0–25° slope (Figure 1; Appendix S2: Table S1 and Figure S1).

Field data collection

Forest recovery and fuels were sampled in 0.25-ha plots following standard methods (Nelson et al., 2016; Turner et al., 2019). Seedling (<2 years old), sapling (>2 years old and <1.4 m height), tree (≥1.4 m height), and standing

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

dead (≥1.4 m height) stem density were tallied in three parallel 2-m × 50-m belt transects. At 5-m intervals, we measured height, crown base height, and diameter at breast height (dbh) of the closest live tree by species; height and dbh of the closest standing dead snag; height of the closest sapling by species; and cover and average height of shrubs by species in 0.25-m^2 quadrats ($n = 25$ quadrats for 6.25-m^2 per plot). Downed woody and forest floor fuels were quantified with five 20-m Brown's planar intersect transects (Brown, 1974) oriented randomly from plot center (total length = 100 m per plot). We recorded 1-h (<0.64 cm diameter) and 10-h (0.64–2.54 cm) fuels along the first 3 m, 100-h (2.54–7.60 cm) fuels along the first 10 m, and sound and rotten coarse woody debris (≥7.6 cm diameter, 1000-h fuel) along the entire 20 m. Litter and duff depth were recorded at 2-m intervals at three locations per transect ($n = 15$ measurements per plot). At plot center we measured aspect, slope, and distance to unburned live forest edge. If live edge was not visible or too far to measure in the field, this distance was estimated in ArcGIS Desktop 10.6 from aerial imagery and burn severity perimeters. Field data from 2000 included live stem densities by species counted in four parallel 2-m × 50-m belt transects spaced 25 m apart (Schoennagel et al., 2003).

Biomass and fuels calculations

We derived live tree, dead snag, lodgepole pine sapling, and shrub aboveground biomass using allometric equations (Appendix S1). Snag biomass was summarized by size classes corresponding to downed wood (i.e., 1-, 10-, 100-, and 1000-h based on dbh). Canopy fuel load and bulk density were estimated from conifer tree crown biomass. Dead woody fuel biomass was computed for 1-, 10-, 100-, and 1000-h pools following Brown (1974) and correcting for slope. Litter and duff biomass were quantified based on average depth and bulk densities for lodgepole pine forest types (Brown et al., 1982; Nelson et al., 2016).

Question 1: Effects of interacting drivers on forest regeneration

We tested whether live stem densities (including all seedlings, saplings, and trees) were lower in short-interval versus long-interval fire with a one-sided, paired Wilcoxon signed rank test ($n = 33$ pairs, lower densities expected in reburns). Differences were also evaluated by species. For lodgepole pine, which was present in all plots, a two-sided, paired Wilcoxon signed rank test was used. For other species, which were absent from many plots and exhibited high variance relative to mean values, differences in presence and density between pairs were tested with zero-inflated negative binomial regression models adjusted for matched data (Abadie & Spiess, 2022; McElduff et al., 2010). Simulated model residuals were evaluated to determine that these distributions appropriately represented underlying data (Appendix S2: Figures S2 and S3). Subsequent analyses only used live conifer stem densities (i.e., excluding aspen).

Post-fire climate was characterized with water-year (October–September) climate water deficit and summer (June–August) vapor pressure deficit (VPD; Davis et al., 2019; Harvey et al., 2016b; Stevens-Rumann et al., 2018). We used 4-km resolution climate data (TerraClimate; Abatzoglou et al., 2018) and summarized 30-year normal (1989–2018) and 3-year post-fire anomaly (z-score relative to normal; Appendix S2: Figures S4–S7). We assessed whether differences in conifer stem density were associated with warmer–drier climate using Spearman's rank correlations because pairwise bivariate distributions were not normal.

The relative importance of drivers of post-fire stem density was tested with multiple linear regression models ($n = 66$ observations). Predictors included climate (climate water deficit normal and post-fire summer VPD anomaly), short-interval versus long-interval fire, lower (<2350) versus higher elevation as a proxy for stand-level serotiny, topography (heat load index and topographic position index; Appendix S1), and distance to live edge. Continuous predictors were not strongly correlated (Pearson's $|r| < 0.5$) and were rescaled to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. Conifer stem density was $\log_{10}$ transformed to meet assumptions of linearity, normality, and equal variance, which were assessed with residual and quantile–quantile plots (Appendix S2: Figures S10 and S11). We fit a full model, including interactions between each predictor and short-interval versus long-interval fire. We used exhaustive model selection to identify the most important factors based on model Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), retaining all models with differences in BIC <2 (see Appendix S2: Table S2 for additional models).

Question 2: Forest biomass and fuels after short-interval versus long-interval fires

We assessed whether total live and dead tree biomass was lower in short-interval versus long-interval fire with one-sided, paired t-tests ($n = 22$ pairs for live and $n = 21$ for dead fuels, lower biomass expected following reburns). Individual fuel pool differences were tested using either two-sided, paired t-tests or two-sided, paired Wilcoxon signed rank tests. Fuels were transformed as needed to meet normality based on quantile–quantile plots (Appendix S2:

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Figure S12), and a Wilcoxon test was used if transformations did not result in normal distributions. Trees (≥1.4 m height), canopy fuels, and 1-h and 10-h snags were absent from >40% of plots and were not tested for differences. Finally, biomass pools were averaged over 0–10, 10–20, and 20–30 years since fire to explore trajectories of biomass change and recovery following short-interval versus long-interval fires.

All analyses and visualizations were performed in ArcGIS Desktop 10.6 and R 4.1.3 (R Core Team, 2022). See Appendix S1 for supplemental detail on methods and R packages.

RESULTS

Question 1: Effects of interacting drivers on forest regeneration

Live stem densities were an order of magnitude lower following short-interval compared with long-interval fire (mean 3240 vs. 28,741 stems ha^{-1}, median 2000 vs. 5000 stems ha^{-1}, respectively; Figure 2). Tree species presence did not differ between plot pairs, but conifer densities were 68%–92% lower following short-interval reburns (Table 1). In contrast, aspen density was more than 500% higher in short-interval plots (Table 1; Appendix S2: Figure S13). Differences in long-interval minus short-interval conifer stem density were strongly positively correlated with climate water deficit normal ($\rho = 0.67$, $p = 0.00002$, $n = 33$; Figure 3a) and weakly positively correlated with summer VPD anomaly ($\rho = 0.34$, $p = 0.05$, $n = 33$; Figure 3b).

Higher post-fire stem densities were best explained by long fire return intervals, higher climate water deficit normal (i.e., warmer–drier conditions), and interactions of fire interval with both distance to unburned live edge and deficit (Figure 3c,d; Table 2). Following short-interval fire, stem densities declined at farther distances from live edge and diverged from long-interval densities with warmer–drier climate. However, stem densities increased with warmer–drier climate after both short-interval and long-interval fire; although not in the top models, this was also the case for post-fire summer VPD anomaly (Appendix S2: Table S2). The main effects of fire interval and climate water deficit explained most variation in stem densities (adjusted $R^2 = 0.23$ for the model without interactions, adjusted $R^2 = 0.27$–0.29 for models with interactions).

Question 2: Forest biomass and fuels after short-interval versus long-interval fires

Short-interval plots had more than seven times less aboveground live tree/shrub biomass and half as much dead woody biomass compared with long-interval plots (mean 1.72 vs. 12.73 Mg ha^{-1} live and 60.19 vs.



FIGURE 2 (a) Total live stem density boxplots for long-interval and short-interval plots. Jittered points show raw data. Differences are significant at $p = 0.0002$ based on a one-sided, paired Wilcoxon signed rank test (test statistic $V = 478$, $n = 33$ pairs). (b) Aerial view of forest recovery and variation in fuels following the 2006 Derby Fire, which reburned the 1990 Iron Mountain Fire as short-interval fire (left) and burned older forest as long-interval fire (right). Location: 45.6° N, 109.9° W. Photograph credit: Kristin Braziunas.

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

TABLE 1 Presence (proportion of plots) and live stem density (stems ha^{-1}) by species in long-interval and short-interval plots ($n = 33$ of each).

Species	Presence proportion		Test statistic	p	Live stem density mean (SE) range		Test statistic	p
	Long	Short			Long	Short		
ABLA	0.27	0.21	0.77	0.45	97 (53) 0–1667	13 (6) 0–167	−7.45	$\mathbf{2 \times 10^{-8}}$
PIAL	0.18	0.09	1.74	0.09	77 (40) 0–1133	20 (14) 0–433	−2.26	**0.03**
PICO	1.00	1.00	...	...	28,189 (9560) 33–197,433	2790 (663) 25–14,825	490	$\mathbf{7 \times 10^{-5}}$
PIEN	0.42	0.30	1.42	0.16	286 (174) 0–5667	23 (10) 0–300	−8.14	$\mathbf{3 \times 10^{-9}}$
POTR	0.24	0.36	−1.60	0.12	62 (37) 0–1200	384 (164) 0–4300	3.16	**0.003**
PSME	0.24	0.09	1.86	0.07	31 (13) 0–267	10 (8) 0–267	−0.22	0.83

Note: Differences in lodgepole pine density were tested with a two-sided, paired Wilcoxon signed rank test (test statistic = V). Presence and density for all other species were tested with zero-inflated negative binomial regression models adjusted for matched data (test statistic = t, df = $n - 1$). Bold p-values are significant at $p < 0.05$.

Abbreviations: ABLA, Subalpine fir; PIAL, Whitebark pine; PICO, Lodgepole pine; PIEN, Engelmann spruce; POTR, Quaking aspen; PSME, Douglas fir.

121.25 Mg ha^{-1} dead, respectively; Table 3; see illustrative plot photos in Appendix S2: Figure S13). Differences in live biomass were reflected in tree biomass, available canopy fuel load, canopy bulk density, and lodgepole pine sapling biomass. Live shrub biomass was low and similar between plot pairs (Table 3).

Individual snag and downed woody pools were highly variable and mostly did not differ between short-interval and long-interval plots (Table 3). Large, 1000-h (≥7.6 cm diameter) snags were the primary driver of lower dead woody fuel loads in short-interval plots (Figure 2b; Table 3). Although the majority (>80%) of dead woody fuels were in 1000-h pools in all plots, the proportion of dead wood in 100-h fuels increased from 2% to 15% following short-interval reburns (Appendix S2: Figure S14). Litter and duff loads did not differ between plot pairs.

Total live plus dead biomass increased over time following long-interval fire and allocation shifted among standing dead, downed wood, and live pools; in contrast, total live plus dead biomass changed little during the first 30 years after short-interval fire (Figure 4). Live tree biomass accumulated more rapidly following long-interval compared with short-interval stand-replacing fire. Long-interval plots had much higher snag biomass immediately after fire, which increased the accumulation of downed wood over time.

DISCUSSION

Our study revealed interactions between short-interval fire and other drivers of subalpine forest regeneration. Mean post-fire stem density was an order of magnitude lower following short-interval versus long-interval fires, and differences were amplified farther from unburned live forest edge. Surprisingly, warmer–drier climate was associated with increases in conifer regeneration even after short-interval fire. Despite the pace of climate change in this region, seedling physiological tolerance thresholds appear not to have been crossed across a wide range of recently reburned areas. Relationships with climate highlight the importance of stand-level lodgepole pine percent serotiny, which is highest at lower elevations where climate is warmer and drier. Greater differences in regeneration density between short-interval and long-interval fires in warmer–drier areas indicate that young forests are reburning before recovering their serotinous seed bank. Our results suggest that forest change due to short-interval fire will be especially pronounced in historically high-serotiny areas, shifting recovery from a high-density to a low-density pathway and potentially leading to forest restructuring (sensu Seidl & Turner, 2022). Furthermore, conifer regeneration in subalpine forests with previously robust serotinous seed banks becomes more reliant on ex situ seed sources after short-interval fire, and propagule limitation interacts with short-interval fire to threaten subalpine forest resilience.

Differences in post-fire biomass between short-interval and long-interval plots highlight nuanced feedbacks between developing fuels and subsequent fire. Although many short-interval reburns had abundant downed wood, they had minimal additional input from snags and a higher proportion of fuels in smaller size

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License



FIGURE 3 Pairwise relationships between (a) climate water deficit normal (1989–2018) or (b) 3-year post-fire summer vapor pressure deficit (VPD) anomaly and differences in live conifer stem density in long-interval minus short-interval paired plots ($n = 33$). Differences in conifer density have been cube-root transformed for plotting. Interactions between fire interval and (c) climate water deficit normal or (d) distance to unburned live forest edge explained post-fire live conifer stem density ($n = 66$). Points and lines are colored by long-interval (light peach) or short-interval (dark red) fire. *Y*-axis is on a $\log_{10}$ scale. Lines are linear fits and shading is standard error.

classes that could decompose quickly or burn readily if fire recurred. In contrast with rapid recovery after long-interval fire, live biomass and canopy fuels remained low nearly 30 years after short-interval fire, suggesting delayed recovery of pre-fire biomass and prolonged self-regulation of future burn severity (Figure 5).

Interacting drivers amplify effects on forest regeneration

Independent effects of short-interval reburns and long distances to live forest on post-fire conifer densities are well documented (Stevens-Rumann & Morgan, 2019), and here

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

TABLE 2 Multiple linear regression models predicting stem density (log_{10} transformed) from multiple factors and potential interactions with short-interval fire.

Model	ΔBIC	Adjusted R^2	Predictor	Estimate	SE	*t*	*p*
Model 1	0	0.28	(Intercept)	3.70	0.13	29.01	**2×10^{-37}**
			Fire interval (short)	−0.74	0.18	−4.03	**0.0002**
			Climate water deficit normal	0.28	0.09	3.02	**0.004**
			Distance to unburned:Fire interval (short)	−0.34	0.16	−2.19	**0.03**
			Distance to unburned:Fire interval (long)	0.12	0.12	0.96	0.34
Model 2	0.7	0.27	(Intercept)	3.74	0.12	30.60	**4×10^{-39}**
			Fire interval (short)	−0.67	0.17	−3.87	**0.0003**
			Climate water deficit normal	0.43	0.12	3.55	**0.0008**
			Climate water deficit normal:Fire interval (short)	−0.37	0.17	−2.12	**0.04**
Model 3	1.1	0.23	(Intercept)	3.74	0.13	29.77	**8×10^{-39}**
			Fire interval (short)	−0.67	0.18	−3.77	**0.0004**
			Climate water deficit normal	0.25	0.09	2.81	**0.007**
Model 4	1.7	0.29	(Intercept)	3.72	0.13	29.17	**4×10^{-37}**
			Fire interval (short)	−0.74	0.18	−4.01	**0.0002**
			Climate water deficit normal	0.40	0.13	3.09	**0.003**
			Distance to unburned:Fire interval (short)	−0.28	0.16	−1.72	0.09
			Climate water deficit normal:Fire interval (short)	−0.24	0.19	−1.30	0.20
			Distance to unburned:Fire interval (long)	0.07	0.12	0.59	0.56

Note: Exhaustive model selection and Bayesian Information Criteria (ΔBIC <2) were used to identify models that best represented the relative importance of predictors. Continuous predictors were standardized to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. Bold *p*-values are significant at $p < 0.05$.

we found amplifying interactions. This is particularly concerning in western United States forests, where current trends in area burned and stand-replacing fire indicate that these two conditions will co-occur with increasing likelihood (Buma et al., 2020; Harvey et al., 2016a, 2023; Westerling, 2016). Climate-driven increases in other agents of tree mortality, such as bark beetles, wind, drought, and pathogens (Anderegg et al., 2020; Seidl et al., 2017), could further reduce seed source availability throughout forest landscapes and exacerbate impacts on post-fire regeneration (Coop et al., 2020). Interactions among drivers alter the likelihood of crossing thresholds and the rate of ecosystem transformation (Ratajczak et al., 2018; Scheffer & Carpenter, 2003). Our findings suggest that historically fire-resilient forests could experience much slower rates of recovery or be vulnerable to surprising forest change where interacting drivers overlap (Figure 5).

Contrary to our expectations, warmer–drier sites and drier post-fire conditions were associated with higher conifer seedling densities, as well as greater differences between short-interval and long-interval plots. This in part reflects the higher prevalence of lodgepole pine serotiny (Tinker et al., 1994) and longer growing seasons at lower elevations, which explains how warmer–drier sites could buffer tree regeneration even after short-interval fires that occur before forests recover their serotinous seed banks. Stevens-Rumann et al. (2018) similarly found that 30-year climate water deficit was positively associated with post-fire forest replacement before 2000, but after 2000 this relationship switched to negative. The warmer–drier conditions represented in our study were apparently still favorable for tree seedling regeneration, but post-fire droughts have exceeded seedling tolerance thresholds in many forests across the western USA over the past 20 years (Davis et al., 2019; Stevens-Rumann & Morgan, 2019). Future research should prioritize areas that burned as short-interval fire followed by extreme drought and disentangle the role of warmer–drier climate from serotiny. Other changing drivers not considered in this study may also affect post-fire regeneration densities and could be included in future research (e.g., height of live forest edge, because young forests are shorter and disperse seeds less far into burned patches; Gill et al., 2021). Overall, post-fire conifer regeneration densities were lower and less variable across a broad environmental gradient after short-interval versus long-interval fire. These initial regeneration densities dictate stand development for decades to centuries, with important implications for

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

TABLE 3 Fuels and biomass pools in long-interval and short-interval plots ($n = 22$ each of short and long plots unless otherwise noted); due to time constraints, fuels data were not measured in one plot pair and shrubs were not measured in two plot pairs.

Biomass or fuel characteristic	Long-interval mean (SE) range	Short-interval mean (SE) range	Test or trans.	Test statistic	*p*
Live tree aboveground (all species)	10.25 (4.01) 0–63.64	1.46 (0.70) 0–15.32	...	...	...
Canopy fuels (*conifers only*)					
Available canopy fuel load	3.57 (1.39) 0–23.71	0.52 (0.26) 0–5.62	...	...	...
Canopy bulk density (kg m^{-3})	0.20 (0.10) 0–2.14	0.03 (0.01) 0–0.30	...	...	...
Dead aerial fuels					
1-h snag size class	...	0.00 (0.00) 0–0.01	...	...	...
10-h snag size class	0.01 (0.00) 0–0.10	0.29 (0.21) 0–4.50	...	...	...
100-h snag size class	0.41 (0.20) 0–4.48	1.98 (0.72) 0–13.36	Wilcoxon	48	0.11
1000-h snag size class	53.88 (8.41) 0–133.92	3.19 (0.98) 0–18.78	Cube root	9.81	**3×10^{-9}**
Total snag	54.29 (8.41) 0–134.16	5.46 (1.28) 0–20.45	Square root	9.03	**1×10^{-8}**
Live surface fuels					
Sapling aboveground (lodgepole pine)	2.40 (1.54) 0–34.18	0.19 (0.06) 0–0.93	Wilcoxon	214	**0.003**
Shrub ($n = 20$)	0.08 (0.01) 0.01–0.22	0.08 (0.02) 0–0.46	Cube root	0.70	0.49
Dead surface fuels ($n = 21$)					
Litter	3.84 (0.80) 0.03–16.20	2.62 (0.66) 0.17–11.41	Wilcoxon	168	0.07
Duff	12.01 (2.46) 0–45.52	9.14 (2.54) 0–45.34	Wilcoxon	110	0.12
1-h	0.16 (0.04) 0.01–0.72	0.10 (0.02) 0–0.34	Wilcoxon	162	0.11
10-h	1.68 (0.26) 0.09–5.02	1.37 (0.25) 0–4.42	No trans.	0.79	0.44
100-h	3.66 (0.52) 1.00–11.98	4.86 (0.68) 1.21–12.79	Wilcoxon	58	0.08
Sound 1000-h	45.86 (7.73) 5.67–154.30	32.10 (6.59) 0–105.30	Wilcoxon	151	0.23
Rotten 1000-h	17.64 (5.53) 0–95.75	16.14 (2.92) 0.31–56.92	Cube root	−1.29	0.21
Total live aboveground (tree + sapling + shrub)	12.73 (4.98) 0.06–91.14	1.72 (0.73) 0.02–16.27	Log_{10}	3.82	**0.0005**
Total dead woody (snags + downed wood, $n = 21$)	121.25 (7.92) 58.97–203.50	60.19 (7.80) 20.15–153.54	No trans.	7.48	**2×10^{-7}**

Note: All loads are in Mg ha^{-1} unless otherwise noted. Differences between plot pairs were tested with one-sided, paired *t*-tests (total live and total dead, test statistic = *t*), two-sided paired *t*-tests (test statistic = *t*, df = $n - 1$), or Wilcoxon signed rank tests (test statistic = *V*). The Test or transformation (trans.) column indicates whether a Wilcoxon test was used or whether a transformation was used with a *t*-test (No trans. = data were not transformed). Snag and woody surface fuel size classes: 1-h, <0.64 cm diameter; 10-h, 0.64–2.54 cm; 100-h, 2.54–7.60 cm; and 1000-h, ≥7.6 cm diameter. Bold *p*-values are significant at $p < 0.05$.

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License



FIGURE 4 Aboveground live and dead biomass pool trajectories following (a) long-interval and (b) short-interval fire. Plots cover a range of 3–27 years since most recent fire. Pools are averaged in 10-year bins (0–10 years since fire, $n = 11$ plot pairs; 10–20, $n = 8$; and 20–30, $n = 2$).



FIGURE 5 Conceptual framework of potential recovery trajectories for aboveground biomass (live plus dead) after stand-replacing long-interval or short-interval fire. The starting point for each trajectory is the residual dead woody biomass after the fire. Following long-interval fire (a, light peach), biomass recovers rapidly to pre-fire levels. Following short-interval fire (b, solid dark red), biomass recovery is delayed and slower due to lower initial levels of dead biomass and tree regeneration. Average regeneration densities are sufficient so that full biomass recovery is likely in the absence of subsequent disturbance. Amplifying effects of long distances from seed source or other drivers could further delay biomass recovery (c, dashed dark red) or potentially result in only partial biomass recovery if post-fire tree density is insufficient for self-replacement (d, dotted dark red). If stand-replacing fires reburn forests before they have recovered to pre-fire conditions, forests may be vulnerable to sustained reductions in biomass. LI, long interval; SI, short interval.

ecosystem structure, function, and services (Braziunas et al., 2018; Kashian, Turner, Romme, & Lorimer, 2005; Turner et al., 2016).

Quaking aspen emerged as a potential winner in a more fiery future, although regeneration density after a single short-interval fire often remained much lower than conifer density. Aspen effectively colonizes recently burned areas from seed via long-distance dispersal (Turner et al., 2003). Once established, aspen resprout successfully and in abundance after short-interval reburns (e.g., Eisenberg et al., 2019; this study) or short intervals between bark beetle outbreaks and fire (e.g., Andrus et al., 2021). Aspen is a keystone species of global importance for biodiversity (Rogers et al., 2020), and warmer climate is associated with inhibited growth and dieback of aspen in the Rocky Mountains (Hanna & Kulakowski, 2012). Our results highlight a potentially positive effect of climate-driven increases in short-interval fire, which may enable aspen expansion by reducing competition with conifers and facilitating aspen regeneration. Similarly, severe fire has catalyzed transitions from conifer to deciduous dominance in boreal forests (Johnstone et al., 2010; Mack et al., 2021).

Reburns alter fuel recovery trajectories and potential future burn severity

Delayed recovery of live canopy fuels following short-interval stand-replacing fire suggests a lower likelihood of crown fire for 30 years or more following reburns. Average canopy bulk density in long-interval plots was already above an active crown fire threshold of 0.10 kg m^{-3} (Cruz et al., 2005), whereas average short-interval bulk density was well below. Self-regulating effects of past fires on future fire spread and burn severity

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

are often short-lived (Buma et al., 2020; Parks et al., 2015), especially in subalpine forests (Harvey et al., 2016a), but our data show that regulation of canopy burn severity could be lengthened following short-interval fire.

Surface fire spread relies on fine surface fuels, which did not differ between plot pairs, suggesting future surface fire spread may be unaffected by reburns. Although we did not quantify herbaceous fuels, Schoennagel et al. (2004) found that herb and grass cover did not differ 12 years after short-interval versus long-interval fire in this region. Coarse woody debris does not contribute substantially to fire spread rates, but higher loadings can increase residence time, burn severity, and resistance to fire control (Graham et al., 2004; Sikkink & Keane, 2012). Downed coarse wood loads of 22–67 Mg ha^{-1} balance high ecological benefits with low-to-moderate fire hazard in cool-climate, post-fire forests (Brown et al., 2003). Average loadings following short-interval fire were within this range, but average long-interval loadings including large snags were much higher. Thus, short-interval reburns may reduce future surface burn severity even if they do not limit spread. Alternatively, more open forest canopies result in drier fuels and higher windspeeds, which could enable rapid fire spread and high tree mortality given sufficient surface fuel loads (van Wagtendonk, 1996).

Implications for forest resilience and change

Overall, our results suggest that some characteristics of forest resilience remain intact after short-interval fire, while others are diminished or lost. All plots had tree regeneration and average densities in reburned areas were sufficient for self-replacement (1200 stems ha^{-1} in 200-year-old stands; Kashian, Turner, & Romme, 2005), indicating that forest regeneration could be considered resilient even after two severe fires within a few decades (Figure 5). In terms of material disturbance legacies, downed coarse wood, which provides regeneration microsites, energy, nutrients, and carbon in post-fire environments (Franklin et al., 2007; Harmon et al., 1986), remained high following short-interval fire. In contrast, large standing snags, which serve as a critical wildlife habitat for several bird species (Hutto, 1995), were virtually absent in reburns. Total live and dead biomass was also much lower in reburns, and biomass accumulation was dampened relative to long-interval fire. These results suggest that short-interval fires weaken forest contributions to climate regulation via carbon sequestration. These diminished capabilities could be long-lasting and further amplified if stands reburn again within a few decades (Figure 5; Hayes & Buma, 2021; Turner et al., 2019). However, negative feedbacks on burn severity from reduced fuel loads could still mitigate future fire effects, and transitions to deciduous species could enhance carbon uptake and increase albedo (Beck et al., 2011; Mack et al., 2021).

Our results apply to other forests facing simultaneous changes in multiple interacting drivers, including North American boreal (Baltzer et al., 2021; Whitman et al., 2019), European temperate (Albrich et al., 2022; Senf & Seidl, 2021), pantropical (Brando et al., 2019), and Mediterranean forests (Batllori et al., 2019). Historically resilient forests may experience restructuring or reassembly following disturbance (Seidl & Turner, 2022) if species traits become misaligned with changing disturbance regimes (Johnstone et al., 2016). Results of this study underscore the importance of considering amplifying interactions among drivers, the need for quantifying recovery over time scales long enough to detect trends, and the power of paired design to improve causal inferences from observational data. Short-interval fire diminished and delayed forest recovery and, coupled with interacting drivers, could lead to rapid, surprising changes in forest resilience during the 21st century.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Kristin H. Braziunas and Monica G. Turner designed the study; Kristin H. Braziunas, Nathan G. Kiel, and Monica G. Turner collected data; Kristin H. Braziunas analyzed data; and Kristin H. Braziunas and Monica G. Turner wrote the paper with contributions from Nathan G. Kiel.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Land acknowledgment: Indigenous peoples including the Crow (Apsáalooke), Shoshone-Bannock, Eastern Shoshone, Blackfeet, Niimiipu (Nez Perce), Salish Kootenai (Flathead), and Aaniiih (Gros Ventre) were killed and forcibly removed from this region during the creation of the national parks. These original inhabitants live in and remain connected to Greater Yellowstone today; restoring and enhancing Native use and governance is important for the future of conservation.

We thank Tania Schoennagel for additional field data. We are grateful for field data collection and scouting assistance from Paul Boehnlein, Nick Tipper, Julia Warren, Diane Abendroth, Olivia Burke, Gunnar Carnwath, Cory Cleveland, Chip Collins, Aidan Cornelison, Todd Erdody, Robbie Heumann, Tyler Hoecker, Paul Hood, Emily Johnson, Timon Keller, Arielle Link, Krista Reicis, Bill Romme, Becky Smith, and Ron Steffens; logistic support from Annie Carlson, Jim Jakicic, Joe Rock, Jim Warren, University of Wisconsin Fleet, Yellowstone National Park Communications Center and Backcountry Rangers, Grand Teton National Park Rangers, and Custer Gallatin National Forest Bozeman Dispatch and Big Timber Work Center; and constructive feedback from John Cataldo, Brian Harvey, Beckett Hills, and Ojaswee

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Shrestha. This manuscript has been much improved thanks to comments from Tania Schoennagel, Anthony Ives, Chris Kucharik, Volker Radeloff, Adena Rissman, and two anonymous reviewers. We acknowledge funding from a Joint Fire Science Program Graduate Research Innovation award (20-1-01-6) and the University of Wisconsin Vilas Trust. Kristin H. Braziunas acknowledges support from the International Chapter of the P.E.O. Ventura Neale Trust Endowed Scholar Award. Monica G. Turner and Nathan G. Kiel acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (Award DEB-2027261).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data and novel code (Braziunas et al., 2023) are available in the Environmental Data Initiative's EDI Data Portal at https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/97bacd7594c89104536d4d2288d93572.

ORCID

Kristin H. Braziunas https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5350-8463
Nathan G. Kiel https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9623-9785
Monica G. Turner https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1903-2822

REFERENCES

Abadie, A., and J. Spiess. 2022. "Robust Post-Matching Inference." *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 117(538): 983–95.

Abatzoglou, J. T., D. S. Battisti, A. P. Williams, W. D. Hansen, B. J. Harvey, and C. A. Kolden. 2021. "Projected Increases in Western US Forest Fire despite Growing Fuel Constraints." *Communications Earth and Environment* 2: 227.

Abatzoglou, J. T., S. Z. Dobrowski, S. A. Parks, and K. C. Hegewisch. 2018. "Terraclimate, a High-Resolution Global Dataset of Monthly Climate and Climatic Water Balance from 1958–2015." *Scientific Data* 5: 170191. https://www.climatologylab.org/terraclimate.html.

Abatzoglou, J. T., and A. P. Williams. 2016. "Impact of Anthropogenic Climate Change on Wildfire across Western US Forests." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113(42): 11770–5.

Albrich, K., R. Seidl, W. Rammer, and D. Thom. 2022. "From Sink to Source: Changing Climate and Disturbance Regimes Could Tip the 21st Century Carbon Balance of an Unmanaged Mountain Forest Landscape." *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research* 95(5): 742.

Anderegg, W. R. L., A. T. Trugman, G. Badgley, C. M. Anderson, A. Bartuska, P. Ciais, D. Cullenward, et al. 2020. "Climate-Driven Risks to the Climate Mitigation Potential of Forests." *Science* 368(6497): eaaz7005.

Andrus, R. A., S. J. Hart, N. Tutland, and T. T. Veblen. 2021. "Future Dominance by Quaking Aspen Expected Following Short-Interval, Compounded Disturbance Interaction." *Ecosphere* 12(1): e03345.

Baker, W. L. 2009. *Fire Ecology in Rocky Mountain Landscapes*, Vol 36. Washington: Island Press.

Baltzer, J. L., N. J. Day, X. J. Walker, D. Greene, M. C. Mack, H. D. Alexander, D. Arseneault, et al. 2021. "Increasing Fire and the Decline of Fire Adapted Black Spruce in the Boreal Forest." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 118(45): e2024872118.

Barnosky, A. D., E. A. Hadly, J. Bascompte, E. L. Berlow, J. H. Brown, M. Fortelius, W. M. Getz, et al. 2012. "Approaching a State Shift in Earth's Biosphere." *Nature* 486(7401): 52–8.

Batllori, E., M. De Cáceres, L. Brotons, D. D. Ackerly, M. A. Moritz, and F. Lloret. 2019. "Compound Fire-Drought Regimes Promote Ecosystem Transitions in Mediterranean Ecosystems." *Journal of Ecology* 107(3): 1187–98.

Beck, P. S. A., S. J. Goetz, M. C. Mack, H. D. Alexander, Y. Jin, J. T. Randerson, and M. M. Loranty. 2011. "The Impacts and Implications of an Intensifying Fire Regime on Alaskan Boreal Forest Composition and Albedo." *Global Change Biology* 17(9): 2853–66.

Bonan, G. B. 2008. "Forests and Climate Change: Forcings, Feedbacks, and the Climate Benefits of Forests." *Science* 320(5882): 1444–9.

Bowman, D. M. J. S., C. A. Kolden, J. T. Abatzoglou, F. H. Johnston, G. R. van der Werf, and M. Flannigan. 2020. "Vegetation Fires in the Anthropocene." *Nature Reviews Earth and Environment* 1(10): 500–15.

Brando, P. M., L. Paolucci, C. C. Ummenhofer, E. M. Ordway, H. Hartmann, M. E. Cattau, L. Rattis, V. Medjibe, M. T. Coe, and J. Balch. 2019. "Droughts, Wildfires, and Forest Carbon Cycling: A Pantropical Synthesis." *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 47: 555–81.

Braziunas, K. H., D. C. Abendroth, and M. G. Turner. 2022. "Young Forests and Fire: Using Lidar-Imagery Fusion to Explore Fuels and Burn Severity in a Subalpine Forest Reburn." *Ecosphere* 13(5): e4096.

Braziunas, K. H., W. D. Hansen, R. Seidl, W. Rammer, and M. G. Turner. 2018. "Looking beyond the Mean: Drivers of Variability in Postfire Stand Development of Conifers in Greater Yellowstone." *Forest Ecology and Management* 430: 460–71.

Braziunas, K. H., N. G. Kiel, and M. G. Turner. 2023. "Less Fuel for the Next Fire? Interacting Drivers Amplify Effects of Short-Interval Fire on Forest Recovery, Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, Montana and Wyoming, USA." Version 1. Environmental Data Initiative. https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/97bacd7594c89104536d4d2288d93572.

Brown, J. K. 1974. *Handbook for Inventorying Downed Woody Material*. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report INT-16.

Brown, J. K., R. D. Oberheu, and C. M. Johnston. 1982. *Handbook for Inventorying Surface Fuels and Biomass in the Interior West*. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report INT-129.

Brown, J. K., E. D. Reinhardt, and K. A. Kramer. 2003. *Coarse Woody Debris: Managing Benefits and Fire Hazard in the Recovering Forest*. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Rocky

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Mountain Research Station, General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-105.

Buma, B., S. Weiss, K. Hayes, and M. Lucash. 2020. "Wildland Fire Reburning Trends across the US West Suggest Only Short-Term Negative Feedback and Differing Climatic Effects." *Environmental Research Letters* 15(3): 034026.

Collins, S. L., A. K. Knapp, J. M. Briggs, J. M. Blair, and E. M. Steinauer. 1998. "Modulation of Diversity by Grazing and Mowing in Native Tallgrass Prairie." *Science* 280(5364): 745–7.

Connell, J. H. 1978. "Diversity in Tropical Rain Forests and Coral Reefs." *Science* 199(4335): 1302–10.

Coop, J. D., S. A. Parks, C. S. Stevens-Rumann, S. D. Crausbay, P. E. Higuera, M. D. Hurteau, A. Tepley, et al. 2020. "Wildfire-Driven Forest Conversion in Western North American Landscapes." *Bioscience* 70(8): 659–73.

Cruz, M. G., M. E. Alexander, and R. H. Wakimoto. 2005. "Development and Testing of Models for Predicting Crown Fire Rate of Spread in Conifer Forest Stands." *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 35(7): 1626–39.

Davis, K. T., S. Z. Dobrowski, P. E. Higuera, Z. A. Holden, T. T. Veblen, M. T. Rother, S. A. Parks, A. Sala, and M. P. Maneta. 2019. "Wildfires and Climate Change Push Low-Elevation Forests across a Critical Climate Threshold for Tree Regeneration." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116(13): 6193–8.

De'Ath, G., K. E. Fabricius, H. Sweatman, and M. Puotinen. 2012. "The 27-Year Decline of Coral Cover on the Great Barrier Reef and its Causes." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109(44): 17995–9.

Despain, D. G. 1990. *Yellowstone Vegetation: Consequences of Environment and History in a Natural Setting*. Boulder: Roberts Rinehart.

Dobrowski, S. Z., A. K. Swanson, J. T. Abatzoglou, Z. A. Holden, H. D. Safford, M. K. Schwartz, and D. G. Gavin. 2015. "Forest Structure and Species Traits Mediate Projected Recruitment Declines in Western US Tree Species." *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 24(8): 917–27.

Donato, D. C., J. B. Fontaine, and J. L. Campbell. 2016. "Burning the Legacy? Influence of Wildfire Reburn on Dead Wood Dynamics in a Temperate Conifer Forest." *Ecosphere* 7(5): e01341.

Doublet, V., M. Labarussias, J. R. de Miranda, R. F. A. Moritz, and R. J. Paxton. 2015. "Bees under Stress: Sublethal Doses of a Neonicotinoid Pesticide and Pathogens Interact to Elevate Honey Bee Mortality across the Life Cycle." *Environmental Microbiology* 17(4): 969–83.

Eidenshink, J., B. Schwind, K. Brewer, Z.-L. Zhu, B. Quayle, and S. Howard. 2007. "A Project for Monitoring Trends in Burn Severity." *Fire Ecology* 3(1): 3–21.

Eisenberg, C., C. L. Anderson, A. Collingwood, R. Sissons, C. J. Dunn, G. W. Meigs, D. E. Hibbs, et al. 2019. "Out of the Ashes: Ecological Resilience to Extreme Wildfire, Prescribed Burns, and Indigenous Burning in Ecosystems." *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 7: 436.

Franklin, J. F., D. Lindenmayer, J. A. MacMahon, A. McKee, J. Magnuson, D. A. Perry, R. Waide, and D. Foster. 2000. "Threads of Continuity: There Are Immense Differences between Even-Aged Silvicultural Disturbances (Especially Clearcutting) and Natural Disturbances, Such as Windthrow, Wildfire, and Even Volcanic Eruptions." *Conservation in Practice* 1(1): 8–17.

Franklin, J. F., R. J. Mitchell, and B. J. Palik. 2007. *Natural Disturbance and Stand Development Principles for Ecological Forestry*. Newton Square, PA: USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, General Technical Report NRS-19.

Ghazoul, J., and R. Chazdon. 2017. "Degradation and Recovery in Changing Forest Landscapes: A Multiscale Conceptual Framework." *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 42(1): 161–88.

Gill, N. S., T. J. Hoecker, and M. G. Turner. 2021. "The Propagule Doesn't Fall Far from the Tree, Especially after Short-Interval, High-Severity Fire." *Ecology* 102(1): e03194.

Graham, R. T., S. McCaffrey, and T. B. Jain. 2004. *Science Basis for Changing Forest Structure to Modify Wildfire Behavior and Severity*. Fort Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-120.

Haapkylä, J., J. Melbourne-Thomas, M. Flavell, and B. L. Willis. 2013. "Disease Outbreaks, Bleaching and a Cyclone Drive Changes in Coral Assemblages on an Inshore Reef of the Great Barrier Reef." *Coral Reefs* 32(3): 815–24.

Hanna, P., and D. Kulakowski. 2012. "The Influences of Climate on Aspen Dieback." *Forest Ecology and Management* 274: 91–8.

Hansen, W. D., and M. G. Turner. 2019. "Origins of Abrupt Change? Postfire Subalpine Conifer Regeneration Declines Nonlinearly with Warming and Drying." *Ecological Monographs* 89(1): e01340.

Harmon, M. E., J. F. Franklin, F. J. Swanson, P. Sollins, S. V. Gregory, J. D. Lattin, N. H. Anderson, et al. 1986. "Ecology of Coarse Woody Debris in Temperate Ecosystems." In *Advances in Ecological Research*, edited by A. MacFadyen and E. D. Ford, 133–302. Orlando: Academic Press.

Harris, N. L., D. A. Gibbs, A. Baccini, R. A. Birdsey, S. de Bruin, M. Farina, L. Fatoyinbo, et al. 2021. "Global Maps of Twenty-First Century Forest Carbon Fluxes." *Nature Climate Change* 11(3): 234–40.

Harvey, B. J., M. S. Buonanduci, and M. G. Turner. 2023. "Spatial Interactions among Short-Interval Fires Reshape Forest Landscapes." *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 32(4): 586–602.

Harvey, B. J., D. C. Donato, and M. G. Turner. 2016a. "Burn me Twice, Shame on Who? Interactions between Successive Forest Fires across a Temperate Mountain Region." *Ecology* 97(9): 2272–82.

Harvey, B. J., D. C. Donato, and M. G. Turner. 2016b. "High and Dry: Post-Fire Tree Seedling Establishment in Subalpine Forests Decreases with Post-Fire Drought and Large Stand-Replacing Burn Patches." *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 25(6): 655–69.

Hayes, K., and B. Buma. 2021. "Effects of Short-Interval Disturbances Continue to Accumulate, Overwhelming Variability in Local Resilience." *Ecosphere* 12(3): e03379.

Higuera, P. E., C. Whitlock, and J. A. Gage. 2011. "Linking Tree-Ring and Sediment-Charcoal Records to Reconstruct Fire Occurrence and Area Burned in Subalpine Forests of Yellowstone National Park, USA." *Holocene* 21(2): 327–41.

Hoecker, T. J., W. D. Hansen, and M. G. Turner. 2020. "Topographic Position Amplifies Consequences of Short-Interval Stand-Replacing Fires on Postfire Tree Establishment in Subalpine Conifer Forests." *Forest Ecology and Management* 478: 118523.

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Holling, C. S. 1973. "Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems." *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 4(1): 1–23.

Hostetler, S., C. Whitlock, B. Shuman, D. Liefert, C. W. Drimal, and S. Bischke. 2021. *Greater Yellowstone Climate Assessment: Past, Present, and Future Climate Change in Greater Yellowstone Watersheds*. Bozeman: Montana State University, Institute on Ecosystems.

Hutto, R. L. 1995. "Composition of Bird Communities Following Stand-Replacement Fires in Northern Rocky Mountain (U.S.A.) Conifer Forests." *Conservation Biology* 9(5): 1041–58.

Jackson, S. T., J. L. Betancourt, R. K. Booth, and S. T. Gray. 2009. "Ecology and the Ratchet of Events: Climate Variability, Niche Dimensions, and Species Distributions." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106(suppl. 2): 19685–92.

Johnstone, J. F., C. D. Allen, J. F. Franklin, L. E. Frelich, B. J. Harvey, P. E. Higuera, M. C. Mack, et al. 2016. "Changing Disturbance Regimes, Ecological Memory, and Forest Resilience." *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 14(7): 369–78.

Johnstone, J. F., T. N. Hollingsworth, F. S. Chapin, and M. C. Mack. 2010. "Changes in Fire Regime Break the Legacy Lock on Successional Trajectories in Alaskan Boreal Forest." *Global Change Biology* 16(4): 1281–95.

Kashian, D. M., W. H. Romme, D. B. Tinker, and M. G. Turner. 2013. "Postfire Changes in Forest Carbon Storage over a 300-Year Chronosequence of Pinus Contorta-Dominated Forests." *Ecological Monographs* 83(1): 49–66.

Kashian, D. M., M. G. Turner, and W. H. Romme. 2005. "Variability in Leaf Area and Stemwood Increment along a 300-Year Lodgepole Pine Chronosequence." *Ecosystems* 8(1): 48–61.

Kashian, D. M., M. G. Turner, W. H. Romme, and C. G. Lorimer. 2005. "Variability and Convergence in Stand Structural Development on a Fire-Dominated Subalpine Landscape." *Ecology* 86(3): 643–54.

Keeley, J. E., G. Ne'eman, and C. J. Fotheringham. 1999. "Immaturity Risk in a Fire-Dependent Pine." *Journal of Mediterranean Ecology* 1: 41–8.

Kleinman, J. S., J. D. Goode, A. C. Fries, and J. L. Hart. 2019. "Ecological Consequences of Compound Disturbances in Forest Ecosystems: A Systematic Review." *Ecosphere* 10(11): e02962.

Littell, J. S., D. McKenzie, D. L. Peterson, and A. L. Westerling. 2009. "Climate and Wildfire Area Burned in Western U.S. Ecoprovinces, 1916-2003." *Ecological Applications* 19(4): 1003–21.

Lorenz, T. J., K. A. Sullivan, A. v. Bakian, and C. A. Aubry. 2011. "Cache-Site Selection in Clark's Nutcracker (Nucifraga Columbiana)." *Auk* 128(2): 237–47.

Mack, M. C., X. J. Walker, J. F. Johnstone, H. D. Alexander, A. M. Melvin, M. Jean, and S. N. Miller. 2021. "Carbon Loss from Boreal Forest Wildfires Offset by Increased Dominance of Deciduous Trees." *Science* 372(6539): 280–3.

McCaughey, W. W., and W. C. Schmidt. 1987. "Seed Dispersal of Engelmann Spruce in the Intermountain West." *Northwest Science* 61(1): 1–6.

McElduff, F., M. Cortina-Borja, S. K. Chan, and A. Wade. 2010. "When T-Tests or Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney Tests Won't Do." *American Journal of Physiology-Advances in Physiology Education* 34(3): 128–33.

Millar, C. I., and N. L. Stephenson. 2015. "Temperate Forest Health in an Era of Emerging Megadisturbance." *Science* 349(6250): 823–6.

Millenium Ecosystem Assessment (MEA). 2005. *Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Synthesis*. Washington: Island Press.

Nelson, K. N., M. G. Turner, W. H. Romme, and D. B. Tinker. 2016. "Landscape Variation in Tree Regeneration and Snag Fall Drive Fuel Loads in 24-Year Old Post-Fire Lodgepole Pine Forests." *Ecological Applications* 26(8): 2422–36.

Nelson, K. N., M. G. Turner, W. H. Romme, and D. B. Tinker. 2017. "Simulated Fire Behaviour in Young, Postfire Lodgepole Pine Forests." *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 26(10): 852–65.

Parks, S. A., L. M. Holsinger, C. Miller, and C. R. Nelson. 2015. "Wildland Fire as a Self-Regulating Mechanism: The Role of Previous Burns and Weather in Limiting Fire Progression." *Ecological Applications* 25(6): 1478–92.

Parks, S. A., C. Miller, C. R. Nelson, and Z. A. Holden. 2014. "Previous Fires Moderate Burn Severity of Subsequent Wildland Fires in Two Large Western US Wilderness Areas." *Ecosystems* 17(1): 29–42.

Pausas, J. G., and J. E. Keeley. 2014. "Evolutionary Ecology of Resprouting and Seeding in Fire-Prone Ecosystems." *New Phytologist* 204(1): 55–65.

Prichard, S. J., C. S. Stevens-Rumann, and P. F. Hessburg. 2017. "Tamm Review: Shifting Global Fire Regimes: Lessons from Reburns and Research Needs." *Forest Ecology and Management* 396: 217–33.

Pugh, T. A. M., A. Arneth, M. Kautz, B. Poulter, and B. Smith. 2019. "Important Role of Forest Disturbances in the Global Biomass Turnover and Carbon Sinks." *Nature Geoscience* 12(9): 730–5.

R Core Team. 2022. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Ratajczak, Z., S. R. Carpenter, A. R. Ives, C. J. Kucharik, T. Ramiadantsoa, M. A. Stegner, J. W. Williams, J. Zhang, and M. G. Turner. 2018. "Abrupt Change in Ecological Systems: Inference and Diagnosis." *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 33(7): 513–26.

Reyer, C. P. O., A. Rammig, N. Brouwers, and F. Langerwisch. 2015. "Forest Resilience, Tipping Points and Global Change Processes." *Journal of Ecology* 103(1): 1–4.

Rogers, P. C., B. D. Pinno, J. Šebesta, B. R. Albrectsen, G. Li, N. Ivanova, A. Kusbach, et al. 2020. "A Global View of Aspen: Conservation Science for Widespread Keystone Systems." *Global Ecology and Conservation* 21: e00828.

Romme, W. H., and D. G. Despain. 1989. "Historical Perspective on the Yellowstone Fires of 1988." *Bioscience* 39(10): 695–9.

Romme, W. H., and M. G. Turner. 2015. "Ecological Implications of Climate Change in Yellowstone: Moving into Uncharted Territory?" *Yellowstone Science* 23(1): 6–13.

Scheffer, M., and S. R. Carpenter. 2003. "Catastrophic Regime Shifts in Ecosystems: Linking Theory to Observation." *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 18(12): 648–56.

Schoennagel, T., M. G. Turner, and W. H. Romme. 2003. "The Influence of Fire Interval and Serotiny on Postfire Lodgepole Pine Density in Yellowstone National Park." *Ecology* 84(11): 2967–78.

Schoennagel, T., D. M. Waller, M. G. Turner, and W. H. Romme. 2004. "The Effect of Fire Interval on Post-Fire Understorey Communities in Yellowstone National Park." *Journal of Vegetation Science* 15(6): 797–806.

Seidl, R., D. Thom, M. Kautz, D. Martin-Benito, M. Peltoniemi, G. Vacchiano, J. Wild, et al. 2017. "Forest Disturbances under Climate Change." *Nature Climate Change* 7(6): 395–402.

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Seidl, R., and M. G. Turner. 2022. "Post-Disturbance Reorganization of Forest Ecosystems in a Changing World." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119(28): e2202190119.

Senf, C., and R. Seidl. 2021. "Mapping the Forest Disturbance Regimes of Europe." *Nature Sustainability* 4(1): 63–70.

Sikkink, P. G., and R. E. Keane. 2012. *Predicting Fire Severity Using Surface Fuels and Moisture*. Fort Collins: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Research Paper RMRS-RP-96.

Stevens-Rumann, C. S., A. T. Hudak, P. Morgan, A. Arnold, and E. K. Strand. 2020. "Fuel Dynamics Following Wildfire in US Northern Rockies Forests." *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change* 3: 00051.

Stevens-Rumann, C. S., K. B. Kemp, P. E. Higuera, B. J. Harvey, M. T. Rother, D. C. Donato, P. Morgan, and T. T. Veblen. 2018. "Evidence for Declining Forest Resilience to Wildfires under Climate Change." *Ecology Letters* 21(2): 243–52.

Stevens-Rumann, C. S., and P. Morgan. 2019. "Tree Regeneration Following Wildfires in the Western US: A Review." *Fire Ecology* 15(1): 15.

Stevens-Rumann, C. S., S. J. Prichard, E. K. Strand, and P. Morgan. 2016. "Prior Wildfires Influence Burn Severity of Subsequent Large Fires." *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 46(11): 1375–85.

Tinker, D. B., W. H. Romme, W. W. Hargrove, R. H. Gardner, and M. G. Turner. 1994. "Landscape-Scale Heterogeneity in Lodgepole Pine Serotiny." *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 24(5): 897–903.

Trumbore, S., P. Brando, and H. Hartman. 2015. "Forest Health and Global Change." *Science* 349(6250): 814–8.

Turner, M. G., K. H. Braziunas, W. D. Hansen, and B. J. Harvey. 2019. "Short-Interval Severe Fire Erodes the Resilience of Subalpine Lodgepole Pine Forests." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116(23): 11319–28.

Turner, M. G., W. J. Calder, G. S. Cumming, T. P. Hughes, A. Jentsch, S. L. LaDeau, T. M. Lenton, et al. 2020. "Climate Change, Ecosystems and Abrupt Change: Science Priorities." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 375(1794): 20190105.

Turner, M. G., W. H. Romme, and R. H. Gardner. 1999. "Prefire Heterogeneity, Fire Severity, and Early Postfire Plant Reestablishment in Subalpine Forests of Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming." *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 9(1): 21–36.

Turner, M. G., W. H. Romme, R. A. Reed, and G. A. Tuskan. 2003. "Post-Fire Aspen Seedling Recruitment across the Yellowstone (USA) Landscape." *Landscape Ecology* 18(2): 127–40.

Turner, M. G., T. G. Whitby, D. B. Tinker, and W. H. Romme. 2016. "Twenty-Four Years after the Yellowstone Fires: Are Postfire Lodgepole Pine Stands Converging in Structure and Function?" *Ecology* 97(5): 1260–73.

van Wagtendonk, J. W. 1996. "Use of a Deterministic Fire Growth Model to Test Fuel Treatments." In *Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: Final Report to Congress*, Vol 2 1155–65. Davis: University of California.

Wan, S., D. Hui, and Y. Luo. 2001. "Fire Effects on Nitrogen Pools and Dynamics in Terrestrial Ecosystems: A Meta-Analysis." *Ecological Applications* 11(5): 1349–65.

Westerling, A. L. 2016. "Increasing Western US Forest Wildfire Activity: Sensitivity to Changes in the Timing of Spring." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 371(1696): 20150178.

Westerling, A. L., M. G. Turner, E. A. H. Smithwick, W. H. Romme, and M. G. Ryan. 2011. "Continued Warming Could Transform Greater Yellowstone Fire Regimes by Mid-21st Century." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108(32): 13165–70.

Western Regional Climate Center (WRCC). 2021. "Old Faithful, Wyoming (486845) NCDC 1981–2010 Monthly Normals." https://wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?wy6845.

White, P. S., and S. T. A. Pickett. 1985. "Natural Disturbance and Patch Dynamics: An Introduction." In *The Ecology of Natural Disturbance and Patch Dynamics*, edited by S. T. A. Pickett and P. S. White, 3–13. Orlando: Academic Press, Inc.

Whitlock, C., J. Marlon, C. Briles, A. Brunelle, C. Long, and P. Bartlein. 2008. "Long-Term Relations among Fire, Fuel, and Climate in the North-Western US Based on Lake-Sediment Studies." *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 17(1): 72–83.

Whitman, E., M. A. Parisien, D. K. Thompson, and M. D. Flannigan. 2019. "Short-Interval Wildfire and Drought Overwhelm Boreal Forest Resilience." *Scientific Reports* 9: 18796.

Yellowstone National Park (YNP). 2017. *Yellowstone Resources and Issues Handbook: 2017*. USA: Yellowstone National Park.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Braziunas, Kristin H., Nathan G. Kiel, and Monica G. Turner. 2023. "Less Fuel for the Next Fire? Short-Interval Fire Delays Forest Recovery and Interacting Drivers Amplify Effects." *Ecology* e4042. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.4042

19399170, 0, Downloaded from https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4042 by University Of Wisconsin - Madison, Wiley Online Library on [14/04/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License